1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney ALESSANDRA P. SERANO Assistant U.S. Attorney California State Bar No. 204796 Federal Office Building 880 Front Street, Room 6293 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 557-7084 Facsimile: (619) 557-7381 Email: alessandra.p.serano@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America UNITED STATES I	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. JOSE VILLALOBOS, Defendant.	Criminal Case No. 07CR3220-IEG DATE: January 14, 2008 TIME: 2:00 p.m. UNITED STATES' RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS TO: (1) PRODUCE BRADY MATERIAL; (2) COMPEL DISCOVERY AND (3) GRANT LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS TOGETHER WITH MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES, STATEMENT OF FACTS AND MOTION FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	COMES NOW the plaintiff, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel, KAREN P. HEWITT, United States Attorney, and Alessandra P. Serano, Assistant United States Attorney, and hereby files its Response and Opposition to Defendant Jose Villalobos's ("Defendant") Motions and will ask this Court to issue an order denying the Defendant's Motions and granting the United States' Motion.	

STATEMENT OF FACTS

I

On October 31, 2007, at approximately 7:39 a.m., Defendant Jose Villalobos was the driver and sole occupant of a white 1999 Ford Windstar minivan registered in his name that entered the United States via the Calexico, West Port of Entry. Customs and Border Protection Officer Villegas was performing primary inspections at vehicle lane #9 when he encountered the vehicle. Defendant stated he was a naturalized United States citizen, gave a negative custom's declaration, stated he owned the vehicle, and had recently purchased the vehicle a week prior. He also stated he had visited his mother-in-law in Mexico and was headed to Calexico. Officer Villegas referred the vehicle to secondary inspection for further inspection.

In secondary inspection, Defendant gave another negative custom's declaration and stated he owned the vehicle for a year and that he was headed to his house on Sergio Street in Calexico. A narcotic dog detector alerted to the vehicle. Non-factory compartments were discovered in the tire well area and a false floor compartment was discovered. The officer drilled a small hole in the floor and a white powdery substance was discovered. 21 packages wrapped in brown cellophane tape were discovered. A field test revealed the substance to be cocaine. The total weight of the packages was approximately 28.04 kilograms. A registration form was discovered where it indicated that Defendant registered the vehicle in his name just 6 days prior.

Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agents Struckmeyer and Galvan advised the Defendant of his Miranda rights in Spanish, which he acknowledged and waived. Defendant stated he lives in Pomona with his wife and daughter. Approximately 3 months earlier, his friend, Jorge Garcia stopped by his house in Pomona to visit. Defendant expressed his financial difficulties with Garcia. Garcia then told Defendant about making some extra money by driving people to and from work across the border.

Defendant stated that on October 28, 2007, he went to Mexicali to meet a friend named "Beto" at a local park where he also encountered Jorge Garcia. Garcia told him of a van he could

purchase in Sonora, Mexico. Defendant said he traveled to Sonora, Mexico and purchased the van on October 25, 2007¹ from an unknown woman for \$2000. The woman registered the van in California for an additional \$300 because it was registered in Arizona. The woman said she would register it to a local address in the Valley area to make it easier for hm to cross the border. Upon further questioning, Defendant stated he actually purchased the vehicle on October 23, 2007 and the woman registered it on October 25, 2007 and that Garcia loaned him \$1000 to purchase the vehicle.

Defendant further explained that he and Garcia would cross each morning at 6:30 a.m. Garcia wanted to introduce him to people he would be transporting. Although they were going to the same places, they drove separate cars. Defendant continued by stating that Garcia drove a brown Chevy van and would cross at the same time as Defendant. However, records checks revealed that no such vehicle crossed within 5 minutes of Defendant's crossings. Defendant further stated that on this occasion, Garcia drove the brown van through the lane to his right (lane #10) after Garcia switched lanes at the last minute. However, lane #10 is a SENTRI lane where it is reserved for vehicles with SENTRI passes and it cannot be entered near the primary inspection areas as it is walled off from the other lanes.

II

ARGUMENT

and acknowledges its obligation pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), the Jencks

Act, and Rules 12 and 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The Government has

complied and will continue to comply with its discovery obligations going forward. To date, the

To date, the Government has delivered 38 pages of discovery. The Government recognizes

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

A.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

This date is actually 3 days BEFORE Defendant said he arrived in Mexico.

Discovery/Brady Information

Government has received no reciprocal discovery.

07CR3220-IEG

1	As to exculpatory information, the United States is aware of its obligations under <u>Brady</u>	
2	v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972) and will	
3	comply. The United States will also produce any evidence of bias/motive, impeachment or	
4	criminal investigation of any of its witnesses of which it becomes aware. An inquiry pursuant to	
5	United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991) will also be conducted.	
6	The United States will provide a list of witnesses in its trial memorandum. The grand jury	
7	transcript of any person who will testify at trial will also be produced.	
8	The United States has provided information within its possession or control pertaining to	
9	the prior criminal history of Defendant. If the Government intends to offer any evidence under	
10	Rule 404(b) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, it will provide notice promptly to the Defendant.	
11	The United States will produce any reports of experts that it intends to use in its case-in-	
12	chief at trial or such reports as may be material to the preparation of the defense. In view of the	
13	above-stated position of the United States concerning discovery, it is respectfully requested that	
14	no orders compelling specific discovery by the United States be made at this time.	
15	The only piece of outstanding discovery is the DEA-7 which will be produced as soon as	
16	Government counsel receives it.	
17	B. <u>Leave to File Further Motions</u>	
18	The Government does not oppose Defendant's request to file further motions if based on	
19	newly produced discovery.	
20	III	
21	<u>UNITED STATES' MOTIONS</u>	
22	A. Government's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	
23	1. <u>Rule 16(b)</u>	
24	Defendant has invoked Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a) in his motion for	
25	discovery and the Government has already voluntarily complied with the requirements of Federal	
26		

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a). Therefore, Rule 16(b) should presently be determined to be operable as to Defendant.

The Government, pursuant to Rule 16(b), hereby requests that Defendant permit the Government to inspect, copy, and photograph any and all books, papers, documents, photographs, tangible objects, or make copies of portions thereof, which are within the possession, custody, or control of Defendant and which she intends to introduce as evidence in her case-in-chief at trial. The Government further requests that it be permitted to inspect and copy or photograph any results or reports of physical or mental examinations and of scientific tests or experiments made in connection with this case, which are in the possession or control of Defendant, which she intends to introduce as evidence-in-chief at the trial or which were prepared by a witness whom Defendant intends to call as a witness. The Government also requests that the Court make such orders as it deems necessary under Rule 16(d)(1) and (2) to insure that the Government receives the discovery to which it is entitled.

2. **Rule 26.2**

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 26.2 requires the production of prior statements of all witnesses, except any statement of Defendant. The rule provides for the reciprocal production of Jencks statements. The time frame established by the rule requires the statement to be provided after the witness has testified, as in the Jencks Act. Therefore, the Government hereby requests that Defendant be ordered to supply all prior statements of defense witnesses by a reasonable date before trial to be set by the Court. This order should include any form these statements are memorialized in, including, but not limited to, tape recordings, handwritten or typed notes, and/or reports.

23

24

25

26

27

1	IV		
2	CONCLUSION		
3	For the above stated reasons, the Government respectfully requests that Defendant's		
4	motions be denied, and the Court grant the United States' motion.		
5	DATED: January 2, 2008.		
6	Respectfully Submitted,		
7	KAREN P. HEWITT		
8	United States Attorney		
9	s/Alessandra P. Serano		
10	s/Alessandra P. Serano ALESSANDRA P. SERANO Assistant United States Attorney		
11	Tissistant Onica states Titteriney		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	6 07CR3220-IEG		
28	0, CR3220 IEG		

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 07CR3220-IEG	
4	Plaintiff,)	
5	v.)) CERTIFICATE OF CERVICE	
6	JOSE VILLALOBOS,) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
7	Defendant.		
8 9	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:		
10	I, ALESSANDRA P. SERANO, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business address is 880 Front Street, Room 6293, San Diego, California 92101-8893.		
11	I am not a party to the above-entit	eled action. I have caused service of United States'	
12 13	Response and Opposition to Defendant's Motions on the following parties by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them.		
14 15	David Baker, Esq. 419 19 th Street San Diego, CA 92102		
16 17	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
18	Executed on January 2, 2008.		
19		s/Alessandra P. Serano	
20		ALESSANDRA P. SERANO	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			